

Thakur

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/3486 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 22 SEP 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 679 OF 2015.

(Sub :- Recovery)

- 1 Shri . Sanjay S. Kerle,
R/at. 49, Jaisinghrao Park, Kagal, Tal. Kagal, Dist. Kolhapur.

.....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 State of Maharashtra, Through its
Principal Secretary, Having its
office at Mantralaya, Mumbai-32. | 2 Tahsildar Disciplinary Authority,
Revenue Dept., Having its Office
at Admini. Bhavan, National
Highway No.4, Kagal, Dist.
Kolhapur-416216. |
| 3 Sub Divisional Officer, Revenue
Dept., Having Its office at Admini.
Bhavan, National Highway No.4,
Kagal, Dist. Kolhapur-416216. | |

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **20th** day of **September, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri S.J. Chaurasia, Advocate for the Applicant
Smt. Archana B.K., P.O. for the Respondent.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **20.09.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Mahar
22/9/2016
Research Officer,

**Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

Date : 20.09.2016.

O.A.No.679 of 2015
(Subject : Recovery)

S.S. Kerle

.... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.... Respondents.


1. Heard Shri S.J. Chaurasia (Ashoka Law Firm), the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the midst of hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri S.J. Chaurasia states as follows :-

- (a) The disciplinary proceedings have resulted in penalty.
- (b) Applicant has carried the appeal against the order of penalty.
- (c) Appeal is heard.
- (d) Applicant wants to wait till the decision of appeal pursuing the cause for allowance relating to period of suspension when main issue would be agitated.

3. In view of the foregoing, learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri S.J. Chaurasia prays for leave to withdraw this O.A. with liberty to file afresh with remedy as available in law.

4. O.A. is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as agitated.


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

TRUE COPY


Ass't. Registrar/Research Officer
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal